1866.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF WARREN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, TRUM-BULL COUNTY, OHIO-\$20,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 15, 1933.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus. Ohio.

1867.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DIS-TRICT, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO—\$4,300.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 15, 1933.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

1868.

APPROVAL, TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TO ABANDONED HOCK-ING CANAL LANDS IN LANCASTER, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 15, 1933.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—This is to acknowledge the receipt of your recent communication with which you submit for my examination and approval a transcript of the proceedings of your office relating to the sale of a parcel of abandoned Hocking Canal lands in the city of Lancaster, Ohio, to one E. C. Rutter of that city. The sale of the property here in question is under the authority of House Bill No. 417 enacted by the 89th General Assembly, 114 O. L. 536. This act provides for the abandonment of that portion of the Hocking Canal, including the full width of the bed and banks thereof, situated within the limits of the city of Lancaster, Ohio, and for the grant to said city of the right to enter upon and to improve and occupy for street, sewerage, drainage and other municipal purposes, certain tracts of said abandoned canal, described in said act. The parcel of land here in question is marginal tract number two created by the act of the city of Lancaster in laying out a street over a portion of the said canal lands which is not included within the limits of the street as laid out by the city.

It appears by way of a recital contained in the transcript of your proceedings relating to the sale of this property that the same has been appraised in the manner provided by section 4 of said act, and that the sale of this property to Mr. Rutter is at the appraised value of the same as fixed by the appraisers provided for in said act.

It does not appear from this transcript whether E. C. Rutter was in possession of this land at the time of the enactment of the act above referred to or

1754

OPINIONS