

4413.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF THE CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$20,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 12, 1935.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

4414.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$20,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 13, 1935.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

4415.

FURTHER DISCUSSION OF STATUS OF TITLE TO CERTAIN LANDS IN LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 13, 1935.

HON. JOHN JASTER, JR., *Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Under date of July 9, 1935, you submitted additional data with reference to the title to lands situated in Lucas County which you are negotiating to acquire from The Toledo Edison Company, the status of which was under consideration in my opinion No. 4307, dated May 31, 1935.

The additional copies of instruments submitted, together with those previously considered, indicate that The Toledo Edison Company has good title to the premises, assuming that the grantors of Samuel R. Dority had good title, there being no data submitted prior to said conveyances, and further assuming that the property described in all of said instruments includes the property involved, this matter being left to the members of your staff to check. It is further pointed out that there are no proper certificates accompanying the copies of the instruments last submitted to indicate that they are authentic, and you should require the same before finally closing the transaction, in the event you purchase the premises.

You further, before accepting a conveyance, should determine that there are no encumbrances of record against said premises granted by the present owners.

The data you submitted is being returned herewith.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

4416.

COSMETOLOGY LAW—ASSIGNMENT OF LICENSE FOR
BEAUTY SHOP OR SCHOOL OF COSMETOLOGY PRO-
HIBITED.

SYLLABUS:

1. *Since there is no express or implied authority in the Cosmetology Law for the assignment of a beauty shop license or a school of cosmetology license, and inasmuch as such licenses are not property rights, but merely licenses to engage in such business, neither a beauty shop nor a school of cosmetology license may be assigned upon the sale of a beauty shop or school of cosmetology.*

2. *If a person operating a licensed beauty shop or a licensed school of cosmetology moves to a new location during the licensing year, he is not required to obtain a new license, but such person may by rule of the State Board of Cosmetology, be required to obtain the consent of the board to such transfer before operating the beauty shop or school of cosmetology at the new location.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 13, 1935.

State Board of Cosmetology, Wyandotte Building, Columbus, Ohio.

MESDAMES:—I am in receipt of your communication which reads as follows:

“The annual license fee for a school of cosmetology is \$100.00.
The annual license fee for beauty shop is \$5.00.

May we have an opinion from you on the following questions:

1. May a shop license be sold with a shop or is a new owner required to obtain a shop license?