

by the city civil service commission. The secretary of the placement office and the psychological laboratory assistants in the vocation bureau in a city school district are not "teachers connected with the public school system" (section 486-8 G. C.) and hence are in the classified civil service of the city school district in which they are employed and subject to examination by such civil service commission.

4. In any city school district of the state it is unlawful for the fiscal officer of such city school district to issue any warrant on the disbursing officer of such city school district to pay any salary or compensation to any employe in the classified service, unless such payroll has been approved by the municipal civil service commission of the city in which such city school district is located, as provided in section 486-21.

5. The directors connected with the public school system, mentioned in paragraph 7 of section 486-8 G. C., are the directors of schools in city school districts, as provided for in sections 7695, 7696, 7697 and 7698 G. C., and the statutes do not recognize any other kind of director in connection with the public school system of the state.

Respectfully,

JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

946.

APPROVAL, ABSTRACTS, TRACTS 1 AND 2, LOTS 59 AND 60, WOOD BROWN PLACE, CLINTON TOWNSHIP, FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 5, PAGE 196, RECORDER'S OFFICE, FRANKLIN COUNTY OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 15, 1920.

HON. CARL E. STEEB, *Secy. Board of Trustees, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR—An examination has been made of two abstracts submitted by you, each of which was last continued January 12, 1920, by John K. Kennedy, attorney-at-law,<sup>12</sup> covering the title to the following described premises:

Tract No. 1—Situated in the township of Clinton, county of Franklin, and state of Ohio, and being Lot No. Fifty-Nine (59) of Wood Brown Place, as the same is numbered and delineated upon the recorded plat thereof, of record in plat book 5, page 196, recorder's office, Franklin county, Ohio.

Tract No. 2—Situated in the township of Clinton, county of Franklin and state of Ohio, and being Lot No. Sixty (60) of Wood Brown Place, as the same is numbered and delineated upon the recorded plat thereof, of record in Plat book 5, page 196, recorder's office, Franklin county, Ohio.

It is my opinion that the abstract covering the title to the premises in tract No. 1, above described, shows a good and sufficient title to be in the name of Charles E. Coe on January 12, 1920, the date of the last continuation thereof, free from all incumbrances.

It is my further opinion that the abstract covering the title to the premises in tract No. 2, above described, shows a good and sufficient title to be in the name of Almon F. Coe, on January 12, 1920, the date of the last continuation thereof, free from all incumbrances.

Said abstracts do not show that any examination was made in any of the United States courts.

Respectfully,  
JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

947.

APPROVAL, DEFICIENCY BONDS OF VILLAGE OF JUNCTION CITY IN AMOUNT OF \$4,500.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 16, 1920.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

948.

APPROVAL, DEFICIENCY BONDS, VILLAGE OF SHAWNEE, OHIO, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$9,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 16, 1920.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

949.

TOWNSHIP CEMETERY—PROCEEDS FROM SALE OF LOTS MAY NOT BE USED FOR PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL CEMETERY GROUNDS.

*Proceeds from the sale of cemetery lots under section 3448 G. C. may not be used for the purchase of additional cemetery grounds under section 3455 G. C.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 19, 1920.

HON. ALLEN J. SENEY, *Prosecuting Attorney, Toledo, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR—Acknowledgment is made of the receipt of your letter dated December 24, 1919, relative to your former request for the opinion of this department, and it is noted that copy of your opinion on this question, directed to the clerk of Oregon township was enclosed with your original request, which is as follows:

“I respectfully request your opinion upon the following questions:

The trustees of Oregon township, Lucas county, Ohio, desire to purchase approximately 17 acres of land adjoining the township cemetery, as additional land for township cemetery purposes, at a cost of approximately \$17,000. They have on hand \$4,000 in the cemetery fund, received from the sale of graves and lots in the present cemetery, and they receive annually