273.

CONTRACT—STATE WITH WENTE ELECTRIC COMPANY, ELECTRICAL WORK, MEN'S DORMITORY, MIAMI UNI-VERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 8, 1939.

Hon. Carl G. Wahl, Director, Department of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my approval a contract by and between the Wente Electric Company, an Ohio corporation, and the State of Ohio acting through you as Director, Department of Public Works, for the Board of Trustees, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, for the construction and completion of electrical work for a project known as Men's Dormitory, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, as set forth in the Form of Proposal dated December 5, 1938, and being Item 4 and Item 16, Alternate M-3, in said Form of Proposal, which contract calls for an expenditure of \$14.765.

You have submitted the following papers and documents in this connection: certificate of availability of funds for this project from W. P. Roudebush, Secretary of the Board of Trustees, Miami University; estimate of cost; division of contract; notice to bidders; proof of publication; workmen's compensation certificate showing the contractor to have complied with the laws of Ohio relating to compensation; the form of proposal containing the contract bond signed by the Ohio Casualty Insurance Company, its power of attorney for the signer, its financial statement and the certificate of compliance with the laws of Ohio relating to surety companies; the recommendation of the Director, Department of Public Works; request of the Department of Public Welfare as to letting contracts; recommendation of the State Architect; Controlling Board Release; approval of PWA and a letter from the Auditor of State showing that all the necessary papers and documents are on file in said office, and the tabulation of bids on this project.

I have examined the specifications made part of the contract by reference and find that a prevailing wage schedule is attached to said specifications in compliance with Section 17-4 of the General Code of Ohio.

The lowest bidder on this project having asked permission to withdraw his bid, and permission having been granted, I find that said contract was awarded to the second lowest bidder, the party referred to in this opinion.

I find no clause in the contract complying with Section 2366-1 of the General Code of Ohio, but by reason of the penal provisions of said section am of the opinion that any aggrieved person has an adequate remedy

338 OPINIONS

at law and the absence of said provision is not such as to invalidate said contract.

Finding said bond and contract in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other documents submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.