thereon, and same is transmitted herewith to you, together with all papers submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

1324.

BONDS—EUCLID VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$3,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 21, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Euclid Village School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$900,000 issue of building bonds of the above village school district dated January 1, 1930. The transcript relative to the above issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your Board under date of March 19, 1930, being Opinion No. 1638.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said village school district.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

1325.

BONDS—CLEVELAND HEIGHTS VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$40,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, October 21, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Cleveland Heights Village School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$40,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$1,500,000 issue of bonds of the above village school district dated July 15, 1920. The

1962 OPINIONS

transcript relative to the above issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your Board under date of July 19, 1933, being Opinion No. 1050.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said village school district.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1326.

BONDS—LAKEWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 21, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, \$5,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$100,000 issue of a \$600,000 voted authorization of building improvement bonds of the above city school district dated March 1, 1931. The transcript relative to the above issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your Board under date of September 23, 1939, being Opinion No. 1219.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city school district.

Respectfully,

Thomas J. Herbert,
Attorney General.