

am of the opinion that the court under the power delegated to it may by rule do the same so long as such rules do not fix the amount of such fees and costs to exceed those provided for like actions and proceedings by general law, including Section 3005, General Code.

Respectfully,
EDWARD C. TURNER,
Attorney General.

240.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND THE WATTS AND SUHRBIER COMPANY OF TOLEDO, OHIO, TO CONSTRUCT PLUMBING, HEATING AND VENTILATING AND ELECTRICAL WORK FOR ANNEX TO MEN'S HOSPITAL, TOLEDO STATE HOSPITAL, TOLEDO, OHIO, AT EXPENSE OF \$26,626.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE METROPOLITAN CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 26, 1927.

HON. GEORGE F. SCHLESINGER, *Director of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Highways and Public Works, and The Watts and Suhrbier Company, of Toledo, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Combined General, Plumbing, Heating and Ventilating and Electrical Contract for Annex to Men's Hospital, Toledo State Hospital, Toledo, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of twenty-six thousand six hundred and twenty-six dollars (\$26,626.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. There has further been submitted a contract bond upon which the Metropolitan Casualty Insurance Company of New York appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
EDWARD C. TURNER,
Attorney General

241.

COMMISSIONER OF SECURITIES—NOT AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT CONTINUATION OF ORIGINAL BOND RESTRICTING MAXIMUM LIABILITY OF SURETY COMPANY TO \$10,000.00—MUST BE A SEPARATE BOND FOR EACH LICENSING PERIOD.

SYLLABUS:

Under Section 6373-3 (d), General Code, the commissioner of securities is not authorized to accept from a licensed dealer, upon renewal of license, a certificate of continua-