348 OPINIONS

1937.

APPROVAL — BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED SEPTEMBER 1, 1916.

Columbus, Ohio, February 17, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated September 1, 1916. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of August 29, 1933, being Opinion No. 4592.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2938.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MARCH 1, 1927.

Columbus, Ohio, February 17, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1927. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of June 11, 1935, being Opinion No. 4331.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

1939.

APPROVAL — BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MARCH 1, 1919.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 17, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Public School Employes' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1919. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of August 21, 1935, being Opinion No. 4565.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.