

2480.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00,
PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 18, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE : Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employes Retirement Board under date of November 14, 1935, being Opinion No. 4898.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2481.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,
OHIO, \$1,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1,
1936.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 18, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE : Bonds of City of Toledo,
Lucas County, Ohio, \$1,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated October 1, 1936. The transcript relative to this

issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of October 1, 1936, being Opinion No. 6137.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2482.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED DECEMBER 1, 1922.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 18, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland,
Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated December 1, 1922. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of March 7, 1938, being Opinion No. 2020.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.