2020 OPINIONS

bonds in the aggregate amount of \$200,000, dated September 1, 1929, and bearing interest at the rate of 4½% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which the above bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1356.

BONDS—CITY OF EUCLID, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$5,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, October 28, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of the City of Euclid, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$1,782,592.02 issue of special assessment refunding bonds of the above city dated August 31, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission of Ohio under date of December 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 5008.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
Thomas J. Herbert,
Attorney General.

1357.

CONTRACT—STATE WITH THE WHEELING AND LAKE ERIE RAILWAY COMPANY, ALTERNATE FLASHLIGHT PROTECTION, FOURTEEN CROSSINGS, STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM AS DESIGNATED.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 28, 1939.

HON. ROBERT S. BEIGHTLER, Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: You have submitted a contract between the State of Ohio and The Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway Company covering the