

of local government shall be distributed. In other words, it may seem of advantage to the municipality to combine one or more departments under one head or to provide for separate departments from those ordinarily recognized as incident to the public service. These are matters which, in my opinion, reside wholly within the field of local self government and I cannot see wherein Section 13 of Article XVIII extends authority to your bureau to prevent any internal arrangement of its business which a municipality may desire. So long as the report filed with you is a true reflection of the amount of expenditures of each purpose which the municipality recognizes as such, then I feel that compliance has been had with all of the requirements which can be made of such municipality under the authority contained in the section of the Constitution above referred to.

I am of the opinion, therefore, that the council of a charter city may legally provide by ordinance for administrative action, ignoring the provisions of Section 280 of the General Code, requiring that each department, improvement or public service furnishing service or property to another shall pay therefor at its true value.

Respectfully,
EDWARD C. TURNER,
Attorney General.

1419.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF THE VILLAGE OF DEER PARK, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO—\$16,479.60.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 22, 1927.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1420.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CHESTER TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, MEIGS COUNTY—\$59,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 22, 1927.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

1421.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF THE CITY OF CONNEAUT, ASHTABULA COUNTY, OHIO—\$33,174.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 22, 1927.

Re: Bonds of the City of Conneaut, Ashtabula County, Ohio, \$33,174.00.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—I have examined the transcript of the proceedings of council and other officers of the City of Conneaut, Ashtabula County, relative to the above bond

transcript, and find that the bond ordinance providing for the above issue of bonds, which are bonds to pay the city's portion of the cost of certain improvements, has not been published.

Section 4227, General Code, provides that "ordinances of a general nature, or providing for improvements, shall be published as hereinafter provided before going into operation."

Sections 4228 and 4229, General Code, provide the manner and length of time of publishing such ordinances.

In the case of *Knauss vs. Columbus*, 13 O. D. (N. P.) 200, it was held that an ordinance which authorizes a municipal corporation to issue bonds for the purpose of obtaining money to pay for a dam, for raising bridges, constructing roadways and acquiring land and materials for waterworks, is an ordinance of a general nature within the meaning of Section 4227, General Code.

In view of the above holding, it is my opinion that the ordinance providing for the above issue of bonds must be published in accordance with Sections 4227, 4228 and 4229, General Code.

For the foregoing reasons, I am compelled to advise you not to purchase the above issue of bonds.

Respectfully,
EDWARD C. TURNER,
Attorney General.

1422.

REAL ESTATE BROKERS—DISCONTINUANCE OF PARTNERSHIP— RENEWAL OF LICENSE DISCUSSED.

SYLLABUS:

Where a partnership of three members has heretofore made application for and been granted a real estate broker's license in the firm name and separate licenses were issued to each of the partners as brokers, upon the dissolution of such partnership, the formation of a new partnership of two of the members of the old firm and the engaging in business of the individual remaining member, licenses for the new firm and an individual license to the remaining partner shall be immediately issued by the State Board of Real Estate Examiners without charge, for the unexpired period. Such licenses are subject to renewal in the manner prescribed by Section 6373-38 of the General Code.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 22, 1927.

HON. CYRUS LOCHER, *Director of Commerce, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This will acknowledge receipt of your recent communication as follows:

"A, B and C are partners and do business under the name of The A, B and C Realty Company. The partnership made an application for a real estate broker's license and all three of the partners made proper application for real estate broker's license. A license was issued to The A, B and C Realty Company and named therein all three of the partners. For this the partnership paid a fee of \$10.00, and \$2.00 for each after the first partner, to-wit, \$4.00 additional.