2020 OPINIONS

bonds in the aggregate amount of \$200,000, dated September 1, 1929, and bearing interest at the rate of 4½% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which the above bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1356.

BONDS—CITY OF EUCLID, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$5,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, October 28, 1939.

Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of the City of Euclid, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$1,782,592.02 issue of special assessment refunding bonds of the above city dated August 31, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission of Ohio under date of December 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 5008.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1357.

CONTRACT—STATE WITH THE WHEELING AND LAKE ERIE RAILWAY COMPANY, ALTERNATE FLASHLIGHT PROTECTION, FOURTEEN CROSSINGS, STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM AS DESIGNATED.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 28, 1939.

HON. ROBERT S. BEIGHTLER, Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: You have submitted a contract between the State of Ohio and The Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway Company covering the

installation of alternate flashing light protection at fourteen crossings on the State highway system, more particularly described therein.

After examination, it is my opinion that said proposed agreement is in proper legal form and when properly executed by the Director of Highways, constitutes a binding contract.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.

1358.

BONDS—CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$1,000.

Columbus, Ohio, October 30, 1939.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of the City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$1,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$1,075,000 issue of a \$3,250,000 authorization of Cuyahoga River Improvement Bonds, Fifth Series, of the above city dated August 1, 1939.

The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of September 7, 1939, being Opinion No. 1146.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,

Attorney General.