

2165.

APPROVAL—BONDS, VILLAGE OF BYESVILLE, GUERNSEY COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 29, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Village of Byesville, Guernsey County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of special assessment, property owners' portion of sanitary sewer bonds in the aggregate amount of \$63,000, dated October 1, 1935, bearing interest at the rate of $5\frac{1}{2}\%$ per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said village.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2166.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF ALLIANCE, STARK COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 29, 1938.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Alliance, Stark County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated October 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of February 24, 1936, being Opinion No. 5183.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2167.

APPROVAL—BONDS CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00,
PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 29, 1938.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of November 14, 1935, being Opinion No. 4899.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.