OPINION NO. 70-047

Syllabus:

On the basis of my Opinion No. 70-046, Opinions of the Attorney General for 1970, and the case of Young v. Price, Common Pleas Court of Frenklin County, Ohio, Case No. 236,620, dated August 5, 1969, a county judge who is currently holding office is entitled to additional compensation as provided for in Sections 1907.081 and 1907.082, Revised Code.

To: James B. Patterson, Madison County Pros. Atty., London, Ohio By: Paul W. Brown, Attorney General, April 17, 1970

I have before me your request for my opinion as to whether or not a county judge who is currently holding office is entitled to additional compensation as provided for in Sections 1907.081 and 1907.082, Revised Code. I refer you to Opinion No. 70-046, Opinions of the Attorney General for 1970, on the question of whether or not municipal judges are entitled to additional compensation during term of Office. The considerations for a county judge with respect to this matter are identical with the considerations for municipal judges.

It is, therefore, my opinion and you are so advised that on the basis of my Opinion No. 70-046, Opinions of the Attorney General for 1970, and the case of Young v. Price, Common Pleas Court of Franklin County, Ohio, Case No. 236,620, dated August 5, 1969, a county judge who is currently holding office is entitled to additional compensation as provided for in Sections 1907.081 and 1907.082, Revised Code.