

1842.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND HIRAM MILLER OF TOLEDO, OHIO, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF IMPLEMENT SHED FOR TOLEDO STATE HOSPITAL, TOLEDO, OHIO, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$5,447.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE STANDARD SURETY AND CASUALTY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 9, 1930.

HON. H. H. GRISWOLD, *Director of Public Welfare, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—There has been submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio acting by the Department of Public Welfare (Toledo State Hospital) and Hiram Miller of Toledo, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Implement Shed for the Toledo State Hospital, Toledo, Ohio, and calls for an expenditure of Five Thousand Four Hundred Forty Seven Dollars (\$5,447.00).

There has been submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. There has also been submitted evidence that the consent of the Controlling Board to the release of funds has been obtained in accordance with Section 4 of House Bill No. 203 of the 88th General Assembly.

In addition there has been submitted a contract bond upon which the Standard Surety and Casualty Company of New York appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

There has further been submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the law relating to the status of surety companies and the Workmen's Compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all the data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

1843.

DELINQUENT CHILD—HABITUAL TRUANT FROM SCHOOL IN COUNTY OTHER THAN THAT OF HIS RESIDENCE—WHAT PROBATE COURTS MAY TAKE JURISDICTION.

SYLLABUS:

A Probate Court may take jurisdiction of a child who is found to be in the county of which such court has jurisdiction under facts and circumstances which constitute truancy, irrespective of the school to which such child is assigned. Ordinarily the county of the child's residence will be the county in which such delinquency occurs, although it is possible for such child to be delinquent in another county for the same cause.