

2278.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00,
PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 12, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employees' Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employees Retirement Board under date of November 14, 1935, being Opinion No. 4898.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2279.

APPROVAL—BONDS, ROCKY RIVER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$1,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED MARCH 3, 1930.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 12, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employees' Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Rocky River City School Dist., Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$1,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated March 3, 1930. The tran-

script relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of August 14, 1933, being Opinion No. 4541.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2280.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY,
OHIO, \$3,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED JUNE 15, 1935.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 12, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
\$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated June 15, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employes Retirement Board under date of January 27, 1936, being Opinion No. 5118.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.