

1386.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF THE VILLAGE OF OLMSTED FALLS, CUYA-  
HOGA COUNTY, OHIO—\$2,600.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 17, 1927.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

1387.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF PARMA VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
CUYAHOCA COUNTY, OHIO—\$47,500.00.

Re: Bonds of Parma village school district, Cuyahoga County, Ohio,  
\$47,500.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 17, 1927.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:—The transcript pertaining to the above issue of bonds contains a proof of publication of a notice of election to submit the question of issuing said bonds to a vote of the people at the November, 1927, election in the "Parma Citizen" for three consecutive weeks, beginning September 29, 1927.

Section 2293-21, General Code, provides in part:

"Notice of the election shall be published in one or more newspapers of general circulation in the subdivision once a week for four consecutive weeks prior thereto, stating the amount of the proposed bond issue, the purpose for which such bonds are to be issued, the maximum number of years during which such bonds shall run and the estimated average additional tax rate, outside of the fifteen mill limitation, as certified by the county auditor."

Inasmuch as publication of the notice of election was had only three times, it is clear that Section 2293-21 was not complied with, and I am therefore compelled to advise you not to purchase the above issue of bonds.

Respectfully,  
EDWARD C. TURNER,  
*Attorney General.*

1388.

ELECTIONS—RECOUNT HAD ONLY ON PROCEEDING UNDER  
STATUTES.

*SYLLABUS:*

*In the absence of a proceeding under the statutes to contest an election, there is no authority under the election laws of Ohio to obtain a recount of the ballots.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 17, 1927.

HON. A. E. WALTON, *Prosecuting Attorney, Upper Sandusky, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—This will acknowledge receipt of your recent communication requesting my opinion as follows: