

In the case of *Board of Commissioners of Summit County vs. Board of Commissioners of Trumbull County, supra*, on page 667, the Court sets forth the inability of a minor child to acquire residence other than that of his legal custodian in the following language:

“Manifestly the minors of themselves could not change their legal settlement by going from one county to another without their parents, but it is quite another thing to say that if a parent, having exclusive control and custody of the children by decree of Court, changes legal settlement, that does not change the legal settlement of the children who have accompanied such parent into the new settlement territory.”

As to the question of jurisdiction of the child welfare organization of either Cuyahoga County or Lake County, your attention is directed to Section 1359-34, General Code, which reads in part as follows:

“Application for aid under this act shall be made by a parent or by one of the relatives in whose home the child is residing, to the county administration of the county in which the child or children in respect of whom such application is made, resides.”

For the purpose of aid to dependent children, the foregoing section of the General Code would apply. It is, therefore, my opinion that the legal residence of the children, A and B, referred to in your request, is that of their mother in Cuyahoga County.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

489.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF YORK TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO, \$7,000.00 (Limited).

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 20, 1937

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.
GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of York Twp. Rural School Dist., Athens County, Ohio, \$7,000.00 (Limited).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of deficiency bonds dated April 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 4% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

490.

APPROVAL — BONDS OF MASSILLON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, STARK COUNTY, OHIO, \$60,000.00 (Limited).

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 20, 1937

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Massillon City School Dist., Stark County, Ohio. \$60,000.00 (Limited).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of deficiency bonds in the aggregate amount of \$90,000.00, dated April 10, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 3% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.