

2432.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED APRIL 1, 1924.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 10, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes' Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland,
Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated April 1, 1924. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of April 15, 1938, being Opinion No. 2318.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2433.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED NOVEMBER 1, 1926.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 10, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes' Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo,
Lucas County, Ohio, \$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated November 1, 1926. The transcript relative to this

issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of May 11, 1934, being Opinion No. 2642.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

2434.

APPROVAL--BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$25,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED DECEMBER 1, 1927.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 10, 1938.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland,
Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$25,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated December 1, 1927. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of October 5, 1936, being Opinion No. 6156.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.