1540.

BONDS—CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, \$15,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 8, 1939.

Retirement Board, Public Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of the City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$15,000.00. (Limited.)

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$550,000 issue of grade crossing elimination bonds of the above city dated April 1, 1924. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of April 28, 1936, being Opinion No. 5433.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1541.

BONDS—TOLEDO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, LUCAS COUNTY, \$1,000.00.

Columbus, Оню, December 8, 1939.

Retirement Board, Public Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Toledo City School District, Lucas County, Ohio, \$1,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$2,000,000 issue of school building, Series B, bonds of the above city school district dated February 1, 1921. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of July 13, 1935, being Opinion No. 4417.

2268 opinions

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city school district.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1542.

BONDS—MINGO JUNCTION VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, \$5,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 8, 1939.

Retirement Board, School Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Mingo Junction Village School District, Jefferson County, \$5,000.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of building and equipment bonds in the aggregate amount of \$175,000, dated April 1, 1918, and bearing interest at the rate of  $5\frac{1}{2}\%$  per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which the above bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said village school district.

Respectfully,
Thomas J. Herbert,
Attorney General.

1543.

SAMPLE OF SEED—NOT SUBJECT TO LABELING REQUIRE-MENTS CONTAINED IN SECTIONS 5805-1 TO 5805-16 G.C. —WHERE A DEALER IN OHIO SELLS, OFFERS OR EX-POSES FOR SALE, SEEDS, SUITABLE FOR SEEDING PURPOSES—PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE THEY WERE SOLD, OFFERED OR EXPOSED FOR SALE.

## SYLLABUS:

- 1. A sample of secd is not subject to the labeling requirements contained in Sections 5805-1 to 5805-16, inclusive of the General Code.
  - 2. If seeds are suitable for secding purposes in Ohio, the selling,