1044 OPINIONS

Upon examination of this lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by you as Conservation Commissioner, acting on behalf of the state of Ohio, and by Robert W. Ricketts, the lessee therein named. Upon examination of the provisions of this lease and the conditions and restrictions therein contained, I find the same to be in conformity with the provisions of section 471 and of other sections of the General Code relating to leases of this kind.

I am, accordingly, approving this lease as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully.

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

5816.

APPROVAL—LEASE TO RESERVOIR LAND AT BUCKEYE LAKE, FAIRFIELD COUNTY, OHIO — C. M. BOYER, BUCYRUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 8, 1936.

Hon. L. Wooddell, Commissioner, Conservation Division, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval a reservoir land lease in triplicate executed by you as Conservation Commissioner to one C. M. Boyer of Bucyrus, Ohio.

By this lease, which is one for a stated term of fifteen years and which provides for an annual rental of \$18.00, payable in semiannual installments of \$9.00 each, there is leased and demised to the lessee above named, the right to occupy and use for cottage site and docklanding purposes, that portion of the westerly embankment of Buckeye Lake that is included in the inner slope and waterfront and the outer slope and borrow pit adjacent thereto that is included in the south half of Embankment Lot No. 34, south of Lakeside, as laid out by the Ohio Canal Commission in 1905, and being part of the Northeast Quarter of Section 28, Town 17, Range 18, Fairfield County, Ohio, and being a renewal of a certain leasehold granted by the State of Ohio to George Boyer of Bucyrus, Ohio, by lease dated December 12, 1905.

Upon examination of this lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by you as Conservation Commissioner acting on behalf of the state of Ohio, and by C. M. Boyer, the lessee therein named. Upon examination of the provisions of this lease and the conditions and re-

strictions therein contained, I find the same to be in conformity with the provisions of section 471 and of other sections of the General Code relating to leases of this kind.

I am, accordingly, approving this lease as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

John W. Bricker,
Attorney General.

5817.

APPROVAL—LEASE TO RESERVOIR LAND AT BUCKEYE LAKE, FAIRFIELD COUNTY, OHIO—MARY L. KAISER, COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 8, 1936.

HON. L. WOODDELL, Commissioner, Conservation Division, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval a reservoir land lease in triplicate executed by you as Conservation Commissioner to one Mary L. Kaiser of Columbus, Ohio.

By this lease, which is one for a stated term of fifteen years and which provides for an annual rental of \$18.00, payable in semi-annual installments of \$9.00 each, there is leased and demised to the lessee above named, the right to occupy and use for cottage site and landing purposes, the inner slope and waterfront and the outer slope and borrow pits in the rear thereof that is included in the south half of embankment lot No. 17 south of Lakeside, as laid out on the west bank of Buckeye Lake by the Ohio Canal Commission in 1905, and being the same ground that was leased by the State of Ohio to W. H. Stewart by lease dated December 12, 1905. Said half lot has a frontage of 50 feet measured along the top of the outer slope of the reservoir embankment, and is a part of the southwest quarter of Section 21, Town 17, Range 18, Fairfield County, Ohio.

Upon examination of this lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by you as Conservation Commissioner, acting on behalf of the state of Ohio, and by Mary L. Kaiser, the lessee therein named. Upon examination of the provisions of this lease and the conditions and restrictions therein contained, I find the same to be in conformity with the provisions of section 471 and of other sections of the General Code relating to leases of this kind.