

territory in Springfield Township to Akron Township, it is clear that the mere fact that this territory by proper proceedings was annexed to the city of Akron would not be effective to attach this territory to Akron Township and make it a part of the same. *State of Ohio ex rel. vs. Ward*, 17 O. S. 544. In this situation the territory of Springfield Township, as the same existed before the annexation proceedings referred to in your communication, would still remain in Springfield Township for all ordinary township purposes and the officers referred to in your communication may continue to exercise the functions of their respective offices while continuing to live in that part of the township annexed to the city of Akron.

If the territory of Springfield Township here in question has not been attached to Akron Township and the justices of the peace referred to in your communication should continue to reside and hold court in said territory which is now a part of the city of Akron, it is apprehended that certain questions may arise with respect to the jurisdiction of said justices in cases arising in said annexed territory or which may affect parties litigant who reside in such territory. However, there is nothing in your communication which calls for a discussion of such suggested questions and no opinion is here expressed with respect to the same.

Respectfully,  
 GILBERT BETTMAN,  
*Attorney General.*

---

986.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF JOHNSTON TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, TRUMBULL COUNTY—\$110,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 4, 1929.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

---

987.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF MOGADORE, SUMMIT COUNTY—\$118,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 5, 1929.

*Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*