

In view of the fact, however, that the transcript discloses that the provisions of Section 3298-15a have not been complied with as commented upon above, I am compelled to advise you not to purchase the above bonds.

Respectfully,  
GILBERT BETTMAN,  
*Attorney General.*

---

670.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, MORROW COUNTY  
—\$567.43.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 25, 1929.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

---

671.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF TULLY TOWNSHIP, MARION COUNTY—  
\$11,207.51.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 25, 1929.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

---

672.

HEALTH BOARD—DISTRICT—ORDERS MAY REFER TO STATUTORY  
PENALTY FOR THEIR VIOLATION.

**SYLLABUS:**

*An order of a district board of health made pursuant to the provisions of Section 1261-42, General Code, intended for the general public, may contain a reference to the statutory penalty for violation of such orders, which penalty is set forth in Section 4414, General Code. If references to a penalty is made in such order, it should be so worded as to clearly indicate that the district board of health is not fixing the penalty.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 26, 1929.

HON. E. B. UNVERFERTH, *Prosecuting Attorney, Ottawa, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Your letter of recent date is as follows:

“Section 1261-42 of the General Code of Ohio reads as follows:

“The board of health of a general health district may make such orders