

Finding said lease in proper legal form, I hereby approve the same and return the same herewith.

Your attention, however, is called to the provisions of section 2285-1 of the General Code which requires a certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unincumbered balances to cover the purchase price before entering into such contract. In view of this section you should obtain such certificate before the acceptance of such lease.

Respectfully,
C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney-General.

2126.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF FELICITY, FRANKLIN CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CLERMONT COUNTY, \$8,000.00, SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT BONDS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 6, 1925.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2127.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, LOT No. 39 OF HAMILTON'S SECOND GARDEN ADDITION, COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 7, 1925.

HON. CHARLES V. TRUAX, *Director of Agriculture, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—An examination of an abstract of title submitted by your office to this department discloses the following:

The abstract under consideration was prepared by Adolph Haak & Co., Abstracters. a continuation thereto was made by Graves and Westervelt, and a final continuation by E. M. Baldrige, January 2, 1924, pertaining to the following premises:

Being Lot No. 39 of Hamilton's Second Garden Addition to the city of Columbus as the same is numbered and delineated upon the recorded plat thereof, of record in Plat Book 7, page 186, Recorder's Office, Franklin County, Ohio, excepting six feet off the east end thereof reserved for an alley.

Upon examination of said abstract, I am of the opinion same shows a good and merchantable title to said premises in Frieda May, subject to the following exceptions:

The release of the mortgage shown at section 8 of the first part of the abstract is in defective form, but as the note secured by the mortgage has been long past due, no action could be maintained upon same. The release shown at section 14 is also defective, but shows that the notes secured by the mortgage were undoubtedly paid.

Attention is directed to the restrictions in the conveyance shown at section 1 of the continuation of September 10, 1923, wherein are found restrictions for a period