

dated September 1, 1926, bearing interest at the rate of  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

452.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF DAYTON, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$24,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 13, 1937.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Dayton, Montgomery County, Ohio, \$24,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of refunding bonds in the aggregate amount of \$195,000, dated March 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 3% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

453.

APPROVAL—GRANT OF EASEMENT EXECUTED TO THE STATE OF OHIO BY PROPERTY OWNERS IN FRANKLIN AND PUTNAM COUNTIES AS PUBLIC FISHING GROUNDS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 13, 1937.

HON. L. WOODDELL, *Conservation Commissioner, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval