

6617.

APPROVAL—CONTRACT FOR ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES
IN CONNECTION WITH REMODELING OF NORTH DOR-
MITORY AT MIAMI UNIVERSITY—FOSDICK AND HUL-
MER, CINCINNATI, OHIO, CONTRACTOR.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 7, 1937.

HON. CARL G. WAHL, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by and through the Department of Public Works for and on behalf of the Board of Trustees of Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, and Fosdick and Hulmer of Cincinnati, Ohio, for architectural services in connection with the remodeling of North Dormitory and providing for compensation to the architect in an amount equal to six per cent (6%) of the amount paid out by the Board of Trustees under and on account of contracts entered into by the State for the construction of said improvement.

You have also submitted a certificate signed by the secretary of the board of trustees of Miami University showing that a sufficient amount is available from the local funds of such university for the payment of architect's fees involved in this contract. Also it appears that the contract has been approved by the building committee of the board of trustees of Miami University.

Finding said contract in proper legal form, I have endorsed my approval thereon and return the same to you herewith, together with all other papers submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

6618.

DISAPPROVAL—GRANTS OF EASEMENT.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 8, 1937.

HON. L. WOODDELL, *Conservation Commissioner, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval two certain grants of easement, Numbers 153 and 156, conveying to the State of Ohio for the purposes cited therein, certain tracts of land.

Upon examination of the above instruments, I find that the signatures of the grantors on both of said instruments are witnessed by only one witness. Inasmuch as the statute requires two witnesses, I am returning the same to you without my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

6619.

DISAPPROVAL—GRANT OF EASEMENT TO LAND IN JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, LOGAN COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 8, 1937.

HON. L. WOODDELL, *Conservation Commissioner, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval a certain grant of easement, No. 49, conveying to the State of Ohio for the purposes cited therein, a certain tract of land in Jefferson Township, Logan County, Ohio.

Upon examination of said instrument, I find that the same is not properly executed inasmuch as the premises in question are owned by several persons and the signature of only one appears on the easement.

I am therefore returning the same without my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

6620.

DISAPPROVAL—GRANT OF EASEMENT TO LAND IN PERRY TOWNSHIP, PUTNAM COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 8, 1937.

HON. L. WOODDELL, *Conservation Commissioner, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my examination and approval a certain grant of easement, No. 287, conveying to the State of Ohio for the purposes cited therein, a certain tract of land in Perry Township, Putnam County, Ohio.