

CASE NO. 778/96

ASSIGNED JUDGE John D. Sutula

Council Gardens Inc.

VS Eugene M. Temkin, et

<input type="checkbox"/> 02 REASSIGNED	D I S P O S I T I O N	<input type="checkbox"/> 81 JURY TRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> 89 DIS. W/PREJ.
<input type="checkbox"/> 03 REINSTATED (C/A)		<input type="checkbox"/> 82 ADR DECREE	<input type="checkbox"/> 91 COGNOVITS
<input type="checkbox"/> 04 REINSTATED		<input type="checkbox"/> 83 COURT TRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> 92 DEFAULT
<input type="checkbox"/> 20 MAGISTRATE		<input type="checkbox"/> 85 PRETRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> 93 TRANS TO COURT
<input type="checkbox"/> 40 ADR		<input type="checkbox"/> 86 FOREIGN JUDGMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> 95 TRANS TO JUDGE
<input type="checkbox"/> 65 STAY		<input type="checkbox"/> 87 DIS. W/O PREJ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 96 OTHER
<input type="checkbox"/> 69 SUBMITTED		<input type="checkbox"/> 88 BANKRUPTCY/APPEAL STAY	



76438971

CV12778196



JOURNAL
RECEIVED FOR FILING
OCT 29 2012
BY: [Signature]
FRANK E. HURST, CLERK
DEPT. OF COURTS
FORM

NO. JURORS _____
START DATE ___/___/___
END DATE ___/___/___

COURT REPORTER _____
START DATE ___/___/___
END DATE ___/___/___

PARTIAL
 FINAL
 POST CARD

DATE 10/23/12 (NUNC PRO TUNC ENTRY AS OF & FOR ___/___/___)

Pursuant to 4121.282(H) this court has reviewed the certified record provided by the commission, and reviewed the law + arguments presented in the briefs of the parties. This court finds that the decision of the commission below was not unlawful, unreasonable, or against the manifest weight of the evidence. Therefore, this court affirms the decision of the UCRC.

[Signature]
JUDGE

CLERK OF COURT