2584 OPINIONS

the Teachers Retirement System under date of December 4, 1933, being Opinion No. 1951.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1564.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 30, 1937.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated October 1, 1937. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of October 25, 1937, being Opinion No. 1360.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

1565.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF EUCLID, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$3,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 30, 1937.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Euclid, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated August 31, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of December 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 5008.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1566.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY. OHIO, \$2,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, November 30, 1937.

State Employes Retirement Board, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio, \$2,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated May 1, 1929. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of June 18, 1935, being Opinion No. 4343.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.