

1223.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF BRUSH CREEK TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, MUSKINGUM COUNTY, \$500.97, TO FUND CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 25, 1924.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1224.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CONCORD TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, FAYETTE COUNTY, \$9,302.60, TO FUND CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 25, 1924.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1225.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, LOGAN COUNTY, \$4,375.00, TO FUND CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 25, 1924.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1226.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND M. G. BECK ELECTRIC COMPANY, COLUMBUS, OHIO, ELECTRIC WIRING IN CATTLE BUILDING, OHIO STATE FAIR GROUNDS, AT A COST OF \$4,800.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE FIDELITY AND CASUALTY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 25, 1924.

HON. L. A. BOULAY, *Director, Department of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Highways and Public Works and M. G. Beck

Electric Co., of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the electric wiring in the Cattle Building, Ohio State Fair Grounds, and calls for an expenditure of \$4,800.00.

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. There has further been submitted a contract bond upon which the Fidelity and Casualty Company appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney General.

1227.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, SOUTH HALF OF LOT 113 AND NORTH HALF OF LOT 114, HAMILTON'S SECOND GARDEN ADDITION, COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 26, 1924.

HON. CHARLES V. TRUAX, *Director of Agriculture, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—An examination of an abstract of title submitted by your office to this department discloses the following:

The abstract under consideration was prepared by Adolph Haak & Co., Abstracters, August 10, 1905, and a continuation thereto made by E. M. Baldrige, attorney, February 21st, 1924, and pertains to the following premises:

The south half of Lot 113 and the north half of Lot 114 of Hamilton's Second Garden Addition to the city of Columbus, Ohio, as the same is numbered and delineated on the recorded plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 7, page 186, Recorder's Office, Franklin County, Ohio, saving and excepting therefrom twelve feet off the rear end thereof reserved for the purpose of an alley.

Upon examination of said contract, I am of the opinion same shows a good and merchantable title to said premises in Katherine Hall, subject to the following exceptions:

The release of the mortgage shown at section 8 of the first part of the abstract is in defective form, but as the note secured by the mortgage has been long past due, no action could be maintained upon same. The release shown at section 14 is also defective but shows that the notes secured by the mortgage were undoubtedly paid.

Attention is directed to the restrictions in the conveyance shown at section 3 of the continuation of February 21, 1924, wherein are found restrictions for a period of twenty-five years against the use of the premises for the erection of any buildings.