524

305.

APPROVAL, LEASE ON BUCKEYE LAKE LAND.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 9, 1927.

Department of Highways and Public Works, Division of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:—I am in receipt of your letter dated April 9, 1927, in which you enclose the following lease in triplicate, for my approval:

BUCKEYE LAKE

Valuation

C. C. Philbrick

Land Lease

\$100.00

I have carefully examined said lease, find it correct as to legality and form, and am therefore returning same, with my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,

EDWARD C. TURNER,

Attorney General.

306.

APPROVAL, ABSTRACT OF TITLE TO LAND IN GREENFIELD, OHIO, TO BE USED FOR ARMORY SITE.

Columbus, Ohio, April 11, 1927.

HON. FRANK D. HENDERSON, Adjutant General of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Dear Sir:—The abstracts of title and warranty deeds covering the premises which it is proposed that the state of Ohio purchase for armory purposes in Greenfield, Ohio, have been resubmitted for examination. The abstracts and deeds were returned to you under date of March 3, 1927, for certain corrections.

With reference to the tract which it is proposed to purchase from T. H. Nevil and James E. Nevil, being the whole of Inlot No. 44 and 10 feet off the west side of Inlot No. 37, as known and designated on the recorded plat of the village of Greenfield, Ohio, there has been submitted evidence showing that the mortgage executed January 7, 1925, by T. H. Nevil and wife and James E. Nevil and wife, to the Home Building and Loan Company, has been cancelled of record.

There have also been submitted three quit-claim deeds from the heirs at law of Cephas C. Norton, deceased, to the state of Ohio, which in my opinion, are sufficient to cure the defects in acknowledgments of a deed by said heirs at law to Mary E. McConnaughey, as pointed out in my former opinion.

My re-examination of said abstracts and other papers above mentioned discloses that the same now show good and merchantable title in T. H. Nevil and James E. Nevil as to the whole of Inlot No. 44 and 10 feet front off the west side of Inlot No. 37, as known and designated on the recorded plat of the village of Greenfield, Ohio, and in Scott Rooks as to a portion of said Inlot No. 37, being a strip 7 feet, 6 inches by 165 feet as described in the warranty deed from said Scott Rooks to the state of Ohio, except that the abstracts show that the 1926 taxes, amount undetermined, are unpaid and a lien on the real estate.

It is my suggestion that sufficient money be retained out of the purchase price for said real estate to pay said taxes after the amount of the same has been determined.