OPINIONS

1738

2955.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED JUNE 1, 1920.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 12, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Public School Employes Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated June 1, 1920. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System date of August 31, 1935, being Opinion No. 4598.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

2956.

A P P R O V A L—BONDS OF MASSILLON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, STARK COUNTY, O1110, \$5,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED NOVEMBER 1, 1922.

Columbus, Ohio, September 12, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Massillon City School Dist., Stark County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated November 1, 1922. The tran-

script relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of December 5, 1934, being Opinion No. 3572.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,
Hereert S. Duffy,
Attorney General.

2957.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$50,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED AUGUST 1, 1920.

Columbus, Оню, September 12, 1938.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$50,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated August 1, 1930. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of September 19, 1934, being Opinion No. 3228.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

Herbert S. Duffy,

Attorney General.