

1094.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF PARKMAN TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, GEAUGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00 (Unlimited).

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 8, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Parkman Township Rural School Dist.,  
Geauga County, Ohio, \$5,000.00 (Unlimited).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of school improvement bonds dated September 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 4% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

1095.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY OHIO, \$17,000.00 (Unlimited).

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 8, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Akron, Summit County,  
Ohio, \$17,000.00 (Unlimited).

I have examined the transcript relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of refunding

bonds in the aggregate amount of \$551,460, dated October 1, 1935, bearing interest at the rate of  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

1096.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO, \$7,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 8, 1937.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Hamilton County, Ohio, \$7,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise part of an issue of sewer bonds in the aggregate amount of \$403,371.63, dated June 1, 1932, bearing interest at the rate of  $4\frac{3}{4}\%$  per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*