and approved, notice to bidders was properly given in the manner authorized by paragraph 3 of House Bill No. 145 of the second special session of the 90th General Assembly, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded.

Also, it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon, and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

4202.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT FOR GENERAL WORK FOR A PROJECT KNOWN AS REMODELING FORMER STATE LIBRARY FOR OHIO SENATE, \$20,-486.00, ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY OF NEW YORK, SURETY—N. J. MULLIGAN, INC., OF COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 1, 1935.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works for the Ohio Senate and N. J. Mulligan, Inc., of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of contract for General Work for a project known as Remodeling former State Library for Ohio Senate, Columbus, Ohio, in accordance with Item No. 1, Item No. 4, Alternate G-1 and Item No. 5, Alternate G-2 of the form of proposal dated April 5, 1935. Said contract calls for an expenditure of twenty thousand four hundred and eighty-six dollars (\$20,486.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. It is noted that it is not necessary under section 2 of House Bill No. 145 of the second special session of the 90th General Assembly, appropriating the money for this contract, that the Controlling Board approve the release of funds.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Royal Indemnity Company of New York appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given in the manner authorized by paragraph 3 of section 2 of House Bill No. 145 of the second special session of the 90th General Assembly, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded.

Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon, and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.