

lection" to pay them when they entered into the contracts. If additional charges were made by the contractor, or contracts for extras made by council, there is no valid showing for the same in the transcript.

I do not see how these deficiencies could have properly arisen in connection with the original proceedings if the intent and purpose of the Burns law was invoked and proceedings had as required by law.

The bond ordinance recites that these bonds are issued for the purpose of paying the city's portion of improving certain streets, whereas the contracts for improving all of said streets have been made and performed by former proceedings of council, and this issue is sought for the purpose of making up balances and not in contemplation of improving the streets.

I am therefore of the opinion that these bonds are not valid and legal obligations of the city for the reasons stated, and I hereby advise the Industrial Commission not to purchase the same.

Respectfully,
C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney General.

85.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CONCORD RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, LAKE COUNTY, \$35,000.00, TO ENLARGE AND FURNISH SCHOOL HOUSE AND PURCHASE REAL ESTATE FOR PLAYGROUND.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 17, 1923.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

86.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF GREEN TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, HOCKING COUNTY, \$14,000.00, TO CONSTRUCT A SCHOOL BUILDING.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 19, 1923.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

87.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF ROCKFORD VILLAGE SCHOOL DISTRICT, MERCER COUNTY, \$10,000, TO COMPLETE THE ERECTION OF A SCHOOL BUILDING.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, February 20, 1923.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.