

juvenile court for transportation to the state of its (the child's) legal residence. If this fund is not used up at the time a child is committed for transportation to its home state, and in the absence of other circumstances which would tend to make your department unable to accept such a child, I am of the opinion that your department is, in the language of Section 1352-3, supra, "able to do so", referring of course to the acceptance of the child so committed.

In conclusion, therefore, it is my opinion that the Department of Public Welfare must accept, "when able to do so", children committed by a juvenile court under the authority of Section 1639-34, but a lack of available funds to carry on such activity would render the Department of Public Welfare unable "to do so" and, therefore, under such circumstances the Department of Public Welfare is not required to accept children so committed.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General

2390A.

APPROVAL—BONDS, GARFIELD HEIGHTS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$1,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED OCTOBER 1, 1933.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 2, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employes' Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Garfield Heights City School
Dist., Cuyahoga County, Ohio, \$1,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated October 1, 1933. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of June 2, 1937, being Opinion No. 679.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General

2391A.

APPROVAL.—BONDS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00,
PART OF ISSUE DATED JUNE 1, 1931.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 2, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Public School Employees' Retirement System,
Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Cuyahoga County,
Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above county dated June 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of August 3, 1933, being Opinion No. 1315.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said county.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.