

provided by this act." But section 7896-30 puts a further limitation upon the giving of prior service credit as a teacher to a person who performs such duties, even in a college or institution in another state, because of the words appearing in section 7896-30 G. C., providing that credit may be claimed "for similar service as a teacher," but such service must have been, under the express language of the statute itself, "in the public day schools of another state."

It will thus be seen that with these words of limitation appearing in section 7896-30, the claim of a teacher for prior service credit cannot cover similar service in another state unless such service as a teacher was rendered in the public day schools of another state.

Respectfully,  
JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

1928.

APPROVAL, LEASES TO STATE LANDS; TWENTY-SEVEN, BUCKEYE LAKE; THREE, LAKE ST. MARYS; TWO, INDIAN LAKE, AND ONE PORTAGE LAKE, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 21, 1921.

HON. JOHN I. MILLER, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—I have your letter of March 8, 1921, in which you enclose the following leases, in triplicate, for my approval:

	<i>Buckeye Lake.</i>	<i>Valuation.</i>
To The Del Fisher Boat Line Company, Buckeye Lake-----		\$5,000 00
Clara B. Hall, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		2,500 00
John Geach et al., lease at Buckeye Lake-----		500 00
The Allegro Fishing & Pleasure Club, lease at Buckeye Lake--		400 00
Mrs. Chas. A. Workman, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
The Magnolia Club, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
Mrs. Anna E. Ross, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
J. L. Love et al., lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
C. M. & C. O. Boyer, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
David Wickliff, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
Frank J. Spooner and wife, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
Chas. F. Watkins, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		400 00
W. B. Willison et al., lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Welsh & Company, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Dr. J. O. Lathem, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
E. E. Biete, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Lena Shannon, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Helen DeWitt, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Frank E. Haubert, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Chas. E. Smith, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
William H. Downs, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Christ Albert, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Jessie G. McCarley, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Herman L. Harris, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00
Louise C. Holland, lease at Buckeye Lake-----		200 00

## OPINIONS

	<i>Valuation.</i>
<i>Buckeye Lake.</i>	
Evelyn D. Rood, lease at Buckeye Lake-----	200 00
W. H. Nieman, lease at Buckeye Lake-----	100 00
<i>Lake St. Marys.</i>	
Frank Milinski, lease at Lake St. Marys-----	1,000 00
David J. Horn, lease at Lake St. Marys-----	400 00
Thos. J. Heusch, lease at Lake St. Marys-----	400 00
<i>Indian Lake.</i>	
Harold B. Owens, lease at Indian Lake-----	1,333 33
J. C. & Myrtle Jacobs, lease at Indian Lake-----	200 00
<i>Portage Lake.</i>	
Theron I. Baughman, lease at Portage Lake-----	600 00

I have carefully examined said leases, find them correct in form and legal, and am therefore returning the same with my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,  
JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

1929.

ROADS AND HIGHWAYS—AUTHORITY OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
TO ASSIST TOWNSHIPS FOR ROAD PURPOSES.

*County commissioners are without authority to adopt a general plan of returning to one or more of the townships of the county the amount of money raised and procured in such township or townships by county levies under section 6926 G. C. (as supplemented by section 6926-1 et seq. G. C.) and section 6956-1 G. C. However, the county commissioners and township trustees may by virtue of sections 7464 and 7467 G. C. enter into an agreement whereby the commissioners may contribute to the township trustees of one or more townships making application for aid, a sum proportionate to their respective needs in the maintenance of township highways, which contribution may be made out of funds not otherwise appropriated arising from levy under section 6926 G. C. to the extent that such funds are not subject to the preferred uses pointed out in opinion of this department (No. 959), dated January 23, 1920. The commissioners, however, in so assisting township trustees, may not resort to funds accruing from levy under section 6956-1 G. C.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 21, 1921.

HON. C. A. RADCLIFFE, *Prosecuting Attorney, Lancaster, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have recently written to this department as follows:

“The board of trustees of the several townships of Fairfield county have submitted to the county commissioners the following petition:

“Lancaster, Ohio, Feb. 28th, 1921.

To the Board of Commissioners of Fairfield County, Ohio.

Gentlemen:—We the undersigned trustees of the several townships of this county, present this our petition praying that your honorable board may aid and assist the several townships of this county by returning to the said townships, to be expended under the directions of the trustees in such town-