

	<i>Valuation.</i>
The Barney & Smith Car Co., Dayton, Ohio, lease of the berme bank of the Miami and Erie canal and Mad River feeder canal for a distance of 3,525 feet-----	\$3,000 00
C. A. Rager, Groveport, Ohio, lease of a small tract of the abandoned Ohio canal property in Groveport, Ohio, for garage purposes -----	1,000 00
The state highway department of Ohio, lease of a portion of the abandoned Hocking canal property in Logan, Ohio, for a garage, yard and storage purposes-----	600 00
Mrs. Alice Baker, Napoleon, Ohio, for a small tract of M. & E. canal property in Napoleon, Ohio, for a residence and orchard purpose -----	200 00

I have carefully examined said leases, find them correct in form and legal. and am therefore returning the same with my approval endorsed thereon.

Respectfully,  
 JOHN G. PRICE,  
*Attorney-General.*

1925.

APPROVAL, FINAL RESOLUTIONS FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS IN DARKE COUNTY, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 18, 1921.

HON. LEON C. HERRICK, *State Highway Commissioner, Columbus, Ohio.*

1926.

ROADS AND HIGHWAYS—WHEN TRACT OF LAND NOT ACTUALLY ABUTTING ROADWAY IS NOT ASSESSABLE FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENT.

*A tract of land not actually abutting a roadway improved under virtue of sections 3298-1 G. C. et seq., but being connected with such roadway by a private driveway or easement about 400 feet long, running across lands belonging to another than the owner of the tract first mentioned, is not abutting land subject to assessment as such for the improvement of the roadway.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, March 19, 1921.

HON. A. S. BEACH, *Prosecuting Attorney, Mansfield, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Consideration has been given your several letters submitted in connection with your request for an opinion of this department in a situation which may be stated as follows:

The township trustees of a certain township in your county are proceeding to make an assessment for the improvement of a highway under the provisions of