

the General Indemnity Corporation of America, Rochester, New York, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon, and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

3975.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND THE RAMBUSCH DECORATING COMPANY OF NEW YORK, N. Y., FOR DECORATIVE PAINTING FOR THE STATE OFFICE BUILDING AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$24,750,000—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE NATIONAL SURETY COMPANY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 21, 1932.

HON. FRANK W. MOWREY, *Executive Secretary, State Office Building Commission, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the State Office Building Commission, appointed under Section 1 of House Bill No. 17, of the 88th General Assembly, passed March 14, 1929 (113 O. L. 59), and the Rambusch Decorating Company of New York, N. Y. This contract covers the construction and completion of contract for Decorative Painting (Branch HH), for the State Office Building, in accordance with Item No. 7 of the Form of Proposal dated November 9, 1931. Said contract calls for an expenditure of twenty-four thousand, seven hundred and fifty dollars (\$24,750.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. It is to be noted that the Controlling Board's approval of the expenditure is not required under House Bill No. 621 of the 89th General Assembly, appropriating the money for this contract. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the National Surety Company appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with. A certificate of the Secretary of State shows that the contracting foreign corporation is qualified to do business in Ohio.

Finally, it appears that the Governor has approved all the acts of the Commission, in accordance with Section 1 of House Bill No. 17 of the 88th General Assembly.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

3976.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND THE F. A. KAMP FLOORING COMPANY OF CINCINNATI, OHIO, FOR LINOLEUM AND ASPHALT TILE FLOORING FOR THE STATE OFFICE BUILDING, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$28,440.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE AETNA CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 21, 1932.

HON. FRANK W. MOWREY, *Executive Secretary, State Office Building Commission, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the State Office Building Commission, appointed under Section 1 of House Bill No. 17 of the 88th General Assembly, passed March 14, 1929 (113 O. L. 59), and the F. A. Kamp Flooring Company, of Cincinnati, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of contract for Linoleum and Asphalt Tile Flooring (Branch CC) for the State Office Building, in accordance with Item No. 3 of the Form of Proposal dated November 12, 1931. Said contract calls for an expenditure of twenty-eight thousand four hundred and forty dollars (\$28,440.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. It is to be noted that the Controlling Board's approval of the expenditure is not required under House Bill No. 621 of the 89th General Assembly, appropriating the money for this contract. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's Compensation have been complied with.

Finally, it appears that the Governor has approved all the acts of the Commission, in accordance with Section 1 of House Bill No. 17 of the 88th General Assembly.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.