1612.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CONCORD RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, CHAMPAIGN COUNTY, OHIO, \$35,000.00. (Unlimited).

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 14, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Concord Rural School Dist., Champaign County, Ohio, \$35,000.00 (Unlimited).

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of school building bonds dated June 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of  $3\frac{1}{4}$ % per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.

1613.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF IRONTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO, \$35,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 14, 1937.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Ironton City School Dist., Lawrence County, Ohio, \$35,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above school district dated February 1, 1921. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an 2646 OPINIONS

opinion rendered to the Industrial Commission under date of February 6, 1928, being Opinion No. 1682.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

Attorney General.

1614.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF DAYTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO, \$200,000.00.

Columbus, Ohio, December 14, 1937.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio. Gentlemen:

RE: Bonds of Dayton City School Dist., Montgomery County, Ohio, \$200,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of refunding bonds dated October 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 3% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,
HERBERT S. DUFFY,
Attorney General.