

county commissioners have exercised their authority in the creation of the position, it then lies within the sole authority of the county engineer to appoint an individual to serve in the position of maintenance supervisor. In other words, after establishing a road district, the engineer is authorized to fill the position with an individual of his sole selection.

The language of Section 2788-1, *supra*, is so plain and unambiguous as to preclude the necessity for any labored or involved construction. The foregoing explanation of the provisions of the statute under consideration is further borne out, I believe, by the requirement that such maintenance supervisor shall act under the direction of the county engineer, indicating that if such supervisor is to be, in a manner of speaking, a mechanical arm of the county engineer he should be personally acceptable to his superior.

In specific answer to your question it is therefore my opinion that the function of the county commissioners in the present instance is limited strictly to authorizing the county engineer to appoint a maintenance supervisor, and the selection of the individual by the county engineer is absolutely not dependent upon the approval of a majority of the county commissioners.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

456.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF AMES-BERN RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, ATHENS COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 14, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of Ames-Bern Rural School Dist., Athens County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

I have examined the transcript of proceedings relative to the above bonds purchased by you. These bonds comprise all of an issue of deficiency bonds dated April 1, 1937, bearing interest at the rate of 4% per annum.

From this examination, in the light of the law under authority of

which these bonds have been authorized, I am of the opinion that bonds issued under these proceedings constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

457.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF AKRON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 14, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Akron City School Dist., Summit County,  
Ohio, \$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of issue of bonds of the above school district dated April 1, 1920. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of October 14, 1936, being Opinion No. 6180.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said school district.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

458.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF PARMA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$14,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, April 14, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of Parma City School Dist., Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$14,000.00.