

enter into the decisions on rolling stock of railroads, making such inapplicable to interstate motor bus carriers, i.e., there are no connecting lines in interstate bus transportation as in the railway cases where the connecting lines haul freight and passengers for the original carrier in the original carrier's own rolling stock. Consequently, there is not the difficulty of connecting carriers being subject to the annoyance of continual litigation because of another carrier's property. Moreover, an interstate bus is not a part of a general system of transportation as is an interstate railway carrier.

It is my opinion that:

1. The general attachment and levy of execution laws of this state are broad enough to cover foreign interstate motor bus carriers.

2. A motor bus actually being used in interstate commerce is subject to attachment and to levy of execution issued by a state court.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

1319.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF EAST CLEVELAND CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO—\$15,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 4, 1933.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

1320.

APPROVAL, NOTES OF SHALERSVILLE RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT.
PORTAGE COUNTY, OHIO—\$1,778.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 4, 1933.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

1321.

APPROVAL, NOTES OF RANDOLPH RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT.
PORTAGE COUNTY, OHIO—\$4,765.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 4, 1933.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.