

3648.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT OF STATE OF OHIO WITH THE HUFFMAN-WOLFE COMPANY, COLUMBUS, OHIO, FOR PLUMBING, HEATING AND VENTILATING, FOR COMMERCE BUILDING, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, AT A COST OF \$41,289.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 3, 1922.

HON. LEON C. HERRICK, *Director Department of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted to me for approval a contract (four copies) between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Highways and Public Works of the State of Ohio for and on behalf of the Board of Trustees of the Ohio State University, and the Huffman-Wolfe Company, Columbus, Ohio. This contract for plumbing, heating and ventilating, including air washer and temperature regulation for the Commerce building on the Ohio State University campus, and calls for an expenditure of Forty-one Thousand, Two Hundred and Eighty Dollars (\$41,280.00).

Accompanying said contract is a bond to insure faithful performance, executed by Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland.

I have before me the certificate of the Director of Finance that there is an unencumbered balance legally appropriated sufficient to cover the obligations of this contract.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon, and return same to you herewith, together with all other data submitted to me in this connection.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.

3649.

DISAPPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF CELINA, MERCER COUNTY, \$5,500 FOR STREET IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 4, 1922.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

Re: Bonds of the Village of Celina, Mercer County, in anticipation of the collection of special assessments for street improvement purposes, as follows:

\$2500 for property owners' share of improving West Livingston Street.

\$3000 for property owners' share of improving Brandon Avenue.

GENTLEMEN:—The transcripts for the bond issues above described in each instance fail to show that the clerk, in his capacity as fiscal officer for the village of Celina, prior to the passage of the ordinances authorizing the issuance of said