

3369.

APPROVAL, FINAL RESOLUTIONS FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS,
CLARK, TUSCARAWAS AND TRUMBULL COUNTIES.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1922.

Department of Highways and Public Works, Division of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.

3370.

APPROVAL, FINAL RESOLUTIONS FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS,
HOCKING COUNTY.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1922.

Department of Highways and Public Works, Division of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.

3371.

GRISWOLD ACT—OPINIONS NOS. 2923, 3031 AND 3302 MODIFIED IN
VIEW OF DECISION OF SUPREME COURT, STATE EX REL. JOHN-
SON VS. CHANDLER, 105 O. S.—BONDS TO WHICH ACT NOT AP-
PLICABLE—HOW LONG SINKING FUND TRUSTEES CONTINUE TO
FUNCTION—WHERE FUNCTIONS ARE EXERCISED FOR BONDS
ISSUED AFTER JANUARY 1, 1922.

*Opinions Nos. 2923, 3031 and 3302 modified in view of the decision of the Su-
preme Court in State ex rel. Johnson vs. Chandler, decided July 5, 1922.*

*The Griswold act does not apply to bonds, the legislation or administrative action
authorizing the issuance of which was complete and effective prior to January 1, 1922.*

*Bonds of the character above described are to be regarded as sinking fund bonds
within the meaning of section 20 of the Griswold act, section 2295-14 of the General
Code, and other similar provisions of that act; so that so long as any such bonds
which have been issued remain outstanding, the local sinking fund authorities pro-
vided for by the law as it existed prior to the enactment of the Griswold act, are to
continue to exercise their respective functions, though such bonds were issued after
January 1, 1922.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1922.

Bureau of Inspection and Supervision of Public Offices, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—The recent decision of the Supreme Court in the case of State of Ohio ex rel. Johnson vs. Chandler, decided July 5, 1922, makes it necessary for