

Upon examination of this lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by the Conservation Commissioner and by Walter Neal, the lessee therein named.

I further find, upon examination of the provisions of this lease and of the conditions and restrictions therein contained, that the same are in conformity with section 471 and other sections of the General Code relating to leases of this kind. I am accordingly approving this lease as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,  
*Attorney General.*

---

2896.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF CITY OF SHAKER HEIGHTS, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO—\$9,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 6, 1934.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

---

2897.

APPROVAL, FOUR CANAL LAND LEASES—JOHN B. ANKROM,  
HOBART AMANN, N. T. WELDON, H. M. CAUDILL.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 7, 1934.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my examination and approval certain leases, four in number, which have been executed by you, as Superintendent of Public Works, and as Director of said Department, to the several lessees, respectively named therein, and covering several parcels of abandoned Ohio canal lands, situated at different points along this canal.

These leases designated with respect to the names of the several lessees, the location of the properties and the several valuations thereof are:

John B. Ankrom, Wayne Tp., Pickaway Co., Valuation \$200.00.  
Hobart Amann, Wayne Tp., Pickaway Co., Valuation \$300.00.  
N. T. Weldon, Circleville, Pickaway Co., Valuation \$200.00.  
H. M. Caudill, Washington Tp., Scioto Co., Valuation \$125.00.

Upon examination of the leases above referred to, I find that each and all of the same have been properly executed by you, as Superintendent of Public

Works, and as Director of said department and by the respective lessees therein named.

I also find, upon examination of the provisions of these several leases and of the conditions and restrictions therein contained, that the same are in conformity with the provisions of the Act of June 7, 1911, 192 O. L., 293, providing for the abandonment of the Ohio Canal from Buckeye Lake to Portsmouth, Ohio, and with those of Sections 13,965 et seq. of the General Code, likewise relating to leases of this kind.

I am accordingly approving these leases as to legality and form, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the leases and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof; all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

*Attorney General.*

---

2898.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE OF OHIO AND THE GIBBONS-GRABLE COMPANY OF CANTON, OHIO, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF CONTRACT FOR GENERAL WORK FOR A BUILDING KNOWN AS GARAGE, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS, DIVISION NO. 4.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 9, 1934.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Department of Highways, and the Gibbons-Grable Company of Canton, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Contract for General Work for a building known as Garage, Department of Highways, Division No. 4, Ravenna, Ohio, in accordance with Item No. 1 of the Form of Proposal dated June 25, 1934. Said contract calls for an expenditure of forty-five thousand four hundred dollars (\$45,400.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted a certificate of the Controlling Board showing that said board has released funds for this project in accordance with Section 8 of House Bill No. 699 of the 90th General Assembly, regular session. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Seaboard Surety Company of New York appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law, and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the Workmen's Compensation Act have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted