

and when referring to ways without the limits of a municipality it is used in the sense of public highways and roads.

“The extent of a street or highway is limited only by the boundary lines thereof. One part thereof which lies within its limits is as much a part of the street or highway as any other part thereof.”

Opinions of Attorney General for 1923, pp. 226-231.

With this view of the legislation, it would follow that in establishing main thoroughfares by local authorities, a condition precedent thereto is the erection of appropriate signs not nearer than one hundred feet from the intersection of boundary lines of such main thoroughfares. This conclusion is borne out when consideration is given to the fact that the section is a part of an act designed to protect the property and person of the traveling public which makes use of the streets and highways, both vehicular and pedestrian traffic.

Respectfully,  
C. C. CRABBE,  
*Attorney General.*

2891.

APPROVAL, FINAL RESOLUTIONS ON ROAD IMPROVEMENTS IN THE FOLLOWING COUNTIES: PUTNAM, MUSKINGUM, ADAMS, SANDUSKY, PORTAGE, HIGHLAND, HARRISON, WASHINGTON, WOOD, WAYNE, NOBLE, AND WILLIAMS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 23, 1925.

*Department of Highways and Public Works, Division of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN:—I am in receipt of your letter of October 23, 1925, enclosing for my approval certified copies of final resolutions on the following improvements:

Putnam county, I. C. H. 491, Sec. E and Sec. Miller City, type A, of the Holgate-Miller City road.

Muskingum county, I. C. H. 348, Secs. M-2 and N, Zanesville-Caldwell road.

Adams county, I. C. H. 120, Sec. West Union, West-Union-Manchester road.

Sandusky county, I. C. H. 269, Sec. Ballville Bridge of the Fremont-Tiffin road.

Portage county, I. C. H. 474, Sec. E and F-1, Aurora-Warren road.

Highland county, I. C. H. 8, Sec. L-1, Chillicothe-Cincinnati road.

Harrison county, I. C. H. 506, Sec. C, Dennison National road.

Washington county, I. C. H. 7, Sec. Muskingum River Bridge, of the Ohio River Road.

Wood county, I. C. H. 220, Sec. E-1, Proposal No. 1; Sec. E-1, Proposal No. 2; Sec. F-2, Proposal No. 4; also, I. C. H. 282, Sec. F, Proposal No. 3, I. C. H. 220, Sec. F-2, Proposals 1, 2 and 3 were not enclosed.

Wayne county, I. C. H. 96, Sec. Smithville, Wooster-Akron road.

Noble county, I. C. H. 392, Sec. A-2, E-1 and Sarahville, of the Caldwell-Barnesville road.

Williams county, I. C. H. 21, Sec. A-1, Toledo-Angola road.

I have carefully examined said resolutions, find them correct in form and legal, and am therefore returning the same to you with my approval endorsed thereon, in accordance with section 1218 of the General Code.

Respectfully,

C. C. CRABBE,  
*Attorney General.*

---

2892.

APPROVAL, LEASE OF BUILDING IN CINCINNATI.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 23, 1925.

HON. G. F. SCHLESINGER, *Director, Department of Highways and Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a lease executed by Val Duttenhofer, Jr., leasing to the State of Ohio for the use of the Industrial Commission, Room 98 of the Duttenhofer Building on the southwest corner of Sixth and Sycamore streets, Cincinnati, Ohio, for the term of twenty-one (21) months, commencing on the 1st day of October, 1925, and ending on June 30, 1927, at a yearly rental of \$480, payable in monthly installments of \$40.00 in advance.

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that funds are available to cover the obligations of the state under the lease.

Finding said lease in proper legal form I hereby approve the same as to form and return the same herewith.

Respectfully,

C. C. CRABBE,  
*Attorney General.*

---

2893.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, PREMISES IN FRANKLIN COUNTY BEING PARTS OF SURVEY NUMBERS 10726 AND 13441.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, October 23, 1925.

HON. CARL E. STEEB, *Secretary, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Examination of a warranty deed and abstract of title submitted by you to this department for examination and opinion, discloses the following:

The abstract under consideration was prepared and certified by Wade J. Beyerly, attorney and abstracter, under date of September 25, 1925, and pertains to the following premises situated in the township of Franklin, county of Ross and state of Ohio, and being parts of Survey numbers 10726 and 13441, and being more particularly described in the caption of the abstract to which this opinion is attached.

Upon examination of said abstract, I am of the opinion that same shows a good and merchantable title to said premises in Rosa Hammond, subject to the following exceptions: