

the office for which he is a candidate. it is believed would bring such declaration within the rule laid down in the case of *State ex rel. vs. Smith*, supra. In other words the irregularity as disclosed by the declaration of candidacy is not such as was intended by section 4974 of the General Code.

The inclusion in the declaration of candidacy of the precinct designation can be considered as mere surplusage if it can be determined from the petition the office for which the declarant is a candidate. As the declaration of candidacy shows that the declarant is a resident of the second ward, his declaration being signed by electors of several precincts in such ward, and that he is a candidate for the Democratic County Central Committee, together with the fact that it has been provided by resolution by the outgoing committee that such committee shall be elected by wards and townships, it is believed that the Board of Deputy State Supervisors and Inspectors of Elections can determine the office for which the declarant is a candidate.

While it is extremely doubtful whether such irregularity is in fact a defect in such declaration of candidacy, if there is a defect it is certainly only a technical defect, and therefore, under section 4974 of the General Code the same should be disregarded if the Board is able to determine the office for which the declarant is a candidate.

Respectfully,
C. C. CRABBE,
Attorney General.

3498.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF GRANDVIEW HEIGHTS, FRANKLIN COUNTY, \$3,250.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 2, 1926.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

3499.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, TO PREMISES IN JOHN W. BURTON'S SUBDIVISION, WOODRUFF'S ADDITION TO THE CITY OF COLUMBUS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 3, 1926.

HON. CARL E. STEEB, *Secretary, Board of Trustees, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted an abstract last continued by Dana F. Reynolds June 17, 1926, and inquired as to the status of the title of lots Nos. 25 and 27 of John W. Burton's Subdivision of the north half of the south half of Lot No. 278 of R. P.

Woodruff's Addition to the city of Columbus as recorded in Plat Book 3, page 350, Recorder's Office, Franklin county, Ohio.

Upon examination it is believed that said abstract discloses a sufficient title to said premises to be in the name of the Ohio Industrial Endowment Fund Company. It further appears that in view of the proceedings in the Common Pleas Court of Franklin County, Ohio, in case No. 98623, Dana F. Reynolds as Receiver is authorized to convey said premises.

You have further submitted an encumbrance estimate disclosing that there are funds available for said purchase.

A deed also has been submitted which is believed sufficient to convey the premises to the State when delivered. Under the terms of the deed it will be necessary for the State to pay the taxes for the year 1926, the first installment of which will be payable in December. The taxes for the last half of the year 1925 in the amount of \$4.32, which are now a lien upon the premises, should be paid by the Receiver before the deed is accepted.

Inasmuch as it is understood that the payment is to be made from the interest on endowment funds, no authorization by the Board of Control will be necessary.

The abstract, deed and encumbrance estimate are herewith returned.

Respecttully,

C. C. CRABBE,

Attorney General.

3500.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF SHARON TOWNSHIP RURAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, FRANKLIN COUNTY, \$35,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 3, 1926.

Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

3501.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF VILLAGE OF STRUTHERS, MAHONING COUNTY, \$15,010.22.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 3, 1926.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.