

such district it is necessary to purchase a site or sites to erect a school house or houses to complete a partially built school house, to enlarge, repair or furnish a schoolhouse, or to purchase real estate for playground for children or to do any or all of such things, * * *” Neither was there any determination of the board that “the funds at its disposal or that can be raised under the provisions of sections seventy-six hundred and twenty-nine and seventy-six hundred and thirty, are not sufficient to accomplish the purpose and that a bond issue is necessary, * * *”

The transcript as now submitted does make a showing that the board of education took some action relative to making an estimate of the probable cost of the improvement. It does not, however, make the determinations and findings above referred to, and which in my opinion are jurisdictional steps which must be taken before the board of education is authorized to submit the question of a bond issue to the vote of the electors.

I am therefore of the opinion that the bonds under consideration are not valid and binding obligations of the Bainbridge Village school district and advise the Industrial Commission not to purchase the same.

Respectfully,
JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General

1405.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$36,500
FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 6, 1920.

Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1406.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$40,500
FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 6, 1920.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

1407.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO, IN AMOUNT OF \$67,000
FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 6, 1920.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.