

1991.

APPROVAL, LEASE TO OHIO CANAL LANDS IN WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, SCIOTO COUNTY, OHIO, FOR PURPOSE OF PARK, GASOLINE STATION AND PIPE LINE—MINAMAX GAS COMPANY, PORTSMOUTH, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 14, 1930.

HON. A. T. CONNAR, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You will please find enclosed herewith a certain canal land lease in triplicate executed by the State of Ohio through you as Superintendent of Public Works, by which there is leased and demised to the Minamax Gas Company of Portsmouth, Ohio, for a term of fifteen (15) years, a certain parcel of abandoned Ohio Canal lands in Washington Township, Scioto County, Ohio, for the purpose of maintaining and operating thereon a park, oil and gasoline filling station and a pipe line. Said lease, which calls for an annual rental of one hundred and sixty dollars (\$160.00), more particularly sets out the land covered thereby and the purposes of said lease as follows:

Commencing at a line drawn at right angles to the transit line of the W. H. Heiby survey of said canal property through Station 2631, and running thence southeasterly with the lines of said canal property, one hundred and fifty (150) feet, more or less, as measured along the transit line of said survey, to the northwesterly line of the Atlantic and Pacific Highway, being U. S. Route No. 52;

Also that portion of said canal property beginning at the southeasterly line of the said Atlantic and Pacific Highway, and running thence easterly with the lines of said canal property, one hundred (100) feet, more or less, as measured along the transit line of said survey, to a line drawn at right angles to the said transit line through Station 2634-50;

Also permission to lay and maintain one (1) pipe line along the northerly embankment of the said Ohio Canal, extending from the westerly line of the above described property, at or near Station 2633-55 easterly, three thousand (3,000) feet, more or less, to Scioto River.

Upon examination of the provisions of said lease, I find them to be in accordance with the provisions of Sections 13965, et seq., 13970, and of other related sections of the General Code, providing for the lease of canal lands. Said lease is accordingly hereby approved as is evidenced by my authorized signature upon said lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,
Attorney General.

1992.

APPROVAL, LEASE TO MIAMI AND ERIE CANAL LANDS IN WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, MIAMI COUNTY, OHIO, FOR AGRICULTURAL AND PARK PURPOSES—L. W. STATLER, DAYTON, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 14, 1930.

HON. A. T. CONNAR, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You will find enclosed herewith a certain canal land lease in triplicate, executed by you as Superintendent of Public Works on behalf of the State of Ohio

by which there is leased and demised to one L. W. Statler of Dayton, Ohio, for a term of fifteen (15) years, a certain parcel of abandoned Miami and Erie Canal lands in Washington Township, Miami County, Ohio, for agricultural and park purposes. The property covered by said lease is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the north line, produced across said canal property, of the lands formerly occupied by D. C. Statler, said line crossing the transit line of the H. E. Whitlock survey of said canal property, at Station 7958 plus 71.8, and running thence southerly with the lines of said canal property 1823.7 feet, as measured along said transit line to the south line produced of the said Statler lands, said line crossing said transit line at Station 7976 plus 95.5, and containing four and one-half (4½) acres, more or less.

The lease of said above described property is subject to certain conditions provided for in said written lease, and the annual rental provided therefor is an annual rental of six per cent (6%) on the sum of six hundred sixty-six dollars and sixty-seven cents (\$666.67), the appraised value of the parcel of land covered by said lease.

Upon examination of the provisions of said lease I find the same to be in conformity with the provisions of Sections 13965, et seq., and with those of the act of the 86th General Assembly, passed March 25, 1925 (111 O. L. page 208). Said lease is therefore approved by me as to its legality and form as is evidenced by my authorized signature on said lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof.

Respectfully,

GILBERT BETTMAN,

Attorney General.

1993.

ELECTION LAW—NO REQUIREMENT FOR REGISTRATION IN CITIES BETWEEN 11,800 AND 16,000 POPULATION—RIGHT OF SUCH CITIES TO REQUIRE REGISTRATION BY ORDINANCE—IF REGISTRATION REQUIRED, COUNTY ELECTION BOARD GETS ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION.

SYLLABUS:

1. *Under the provisions of Section 4785-34, General Code, there is no requirement that provision be made for registration of all qualified electors of a city having a population of less than 16,000 and more than 11,800. Such city may, however, by ordinance elect to become a registration city.*
2. *In the event such city should become a registration city prior to the November, 1930, election, the members and clerk of the board of elections of such county would be entitled to the additional compensation provided for counties containing a registration city as set forth in Sections 4785-18 and 4785-19, General Code, for the year 1930.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 16, 1930.

HON. CLARENCE J. BROWN, *Secretary of State, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—Your letter of recent date is as follows:

“I will appreciate your official opinion upon the following questions:

Under the old election laws in effect before January 1, 1930, all cities of 11,800 population and over were required to have registration. Under Section