

his license may be suspended or revoked, as provided in section 1325 of this act (G. C. §§1314 et seq.)”

An examination of the statutes fails to disclose any statutory restriction as to the place in, or at which a dentist may practice his profession. I do not have before me a copy of the rules and regulations adopted by your board, and am expressing no opinion concerning their requirements. There appears to be no statute which would prevent the dentist from having more than one office.

A more serious question arises by reason of the contemplated practice of employing a licensed dentist to manage one of the offices; for under the provisions of Section 1329, supra, the manager is practicing, and by the provisions of Section 1329-1, supra, he is required to practice his profession in his own name, under penalty of fine and revocation of license.

You specifically inquire whether one dentist may own more than one dental office in his own name; I am assuming, for the purposes of this opinion, that you mean “own and operate” such office in his own name, for there appear to be no limitations of statute on the ownership of the office.

Specifically answering your inquiry, it is my opinion that:

1. A dentist may own and operate one or more dental offices in his own name.
2. When a licensed dentist manages a dental office owned by a second dentist, which office is conducted in the name of the second dentist, such conduct is in violation of Sections 1329 and 1329-1 of the General Code. Such managing dentist, upon conviction thereof, may be penalized by a fine and revocation or suspension of his license pursuant to the provisions of Section 1329-1, General Code.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

1521.

APPROVAL, BOND FOR THE FAITHFUL PERFORMANCE OF HIS
DUTIES AS RESIDENT DISTRICT DEPUTY DIRECTOR—LUTHER
K. ZERBE.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 6, 1933.

HON. O. W. MERRELL, *Director of Highways, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval, a bond in the penal sum of \$5,000.00, with surety as indicated, to cover the faithful performance of the duties of the official as hereinafter listed:

Luther K. Zerbe—Resident District Deputy Director, Stark County—
The Central Surety and Insurance Corporation of Kansas City, Mo.

The above bond is undoubtedly executed pursuant to provisions of Sections 1183 and 1182-3, General Code. Such sections read, in so far as pertinent here, as follows:

"Sec. 1183. * * Such resident district deputy directors shall * * give bond in the sum of five thousand dollars * *"

"Sec. 1182-3. * * All bonds hereinbefore provided for shall be conditioned upon the faithful discharge of the duties of their respective positions, and such bonds * * shall be approved as to the sufficiency of the sureties by the director, and as to legality and form by the attorney general, and be deposited with the secretary of state. * *"

The bond appears to have been properly executed in accordance with the foregoing statutory provisions. However, I would suggest that the word "appointed" be inserted between the word "been" and the word "Resident" in the eighth line of the bond.

Subject to the foregoing correction, I have endorsed my approval on said bond, and am returning same to you herewith.

Respectfully,
JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

1522.

APPROVAL, LEASE TO RESERVOIR LAND—W. E. ARNOLD.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 6, 1933.

HON. EARL H. HANEFELD, *Director, Department of Agriculture, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—The conservation division in your department, acting through the chief of the bureau of inland lakes and parks, has submitted for my examination and approval a reservoir land lease in triplicate executed by the conservation commissioner to one W. E. Arnold of Cleveland, Ohio. This lease is one for a term of fifteen years and the same provides for an annual rental of six dollars payable in semi-annual installments of three dollars each.

Upon examination of this lease, I find that the same has been properly executed by the conservation commissioner and by W. E. Arnold, the lessee therein named. I further find, upon examination of the provisions of the lease and of the conditions and restrictions therein contained, that the same are in conformity with the section of the General Code under the authority of which the lease is executed, and with other statutory enactments relating to leases of this kind. I am accordingly approving this lease as to legality and form as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith returned.

Respectfully,
JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.