

Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation Investigative Report



2024-1470 Officer Involved Critical Incident - 4738 McAllister Ave. Columbus

Investigative Activity: Records Received, Review of Records

Date of Activity: 06/20/2024

Author: SA Kyle Douglass, #126

Narrative:

On June 20, 2024, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent Kyle Douglass (SA Douglass) received BCI Firearms Laboratory Report #24-14395. Please refer to the BCI Lab report for further clarification and details. The findings were saved electronically within the case file and are attached to this report.

On May 11, 2024, BCI was requested by the Columbus Division of Police (CPD) to assist in the investigation of an Officer Involved Critical Incident (OICI) which occurred near 4738 McAllister Avenue in Columbus, OH. On this date, CPD officers were called to a domestic disturbance involving an armed individual who had allegedly discharged a firearm, when they became involved in an encounter with Corey Roach (Roach). During the encounter, responding CPD officers were fired upon by Roach, and discharged his firearm in response. Roach was transported to Mount Carmel Hospital (Mt. Carmel) with alleged gunshot wounds, where he was pronounced deceased. A female victim was discovered in a neighboring residence after the OICI, later identified as Nicole Pleasant, was pronounced deceased on scene by EMS medics.

Several firearms were recovered during the incident which reportedly did not belong to any law enforcement officers on scene, which were collected by the BCI Crime Scene Unit (CSU). Firearms believed to be related to the OICI were subsequently submitted to the BCI firearms lab for analysis.

Forensic Scientist Krystal Soles (FS Soles) identified the firearm with serial	l #MOD-0-02189, as
B-Kings Firearms 5.56mm NATO semi-automatic rifle,	,
FS Soles identified the firearm with serial #GKS0180540, as FNH U	ISA model 509 9mm
semi-automatic pistol,	FS Soles identified
the firearm with serial #66F051495, as Sig-Sauer model P365 9mm se	mi-automatic pistol,

While processing the scene, CSU also recovered cartridge cases and fired projectiles in the vicinity of the OICI. A total of five (5) cartridge cases and one (1) fired bullet projectile were submitted to the lab for analysis.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

FS Soles identified three (3) of the 9mm Luger cartridge cases (Items 1 and 2) and one (1) fired bullet projectile (Item 8) as being source identified to the Smith & Wesson MP9 2.0, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial

FS Soles identified one (1) 9mm Luger cartridge case (Item 4) as being source identified to the FNH USA model 509 9mm semi-automatic pistol, serial #GKS0180540, which was recovered from the scene and was in the possession of Roach during the incident.

FS Soles identified one (1) 5.56 NATO cartridge case (Item 6) as being source identified to the B-Kings Firearms 5.56mm NATO semi-automatic rifle, serial #MOD-0-02189, which was recovered from the scene and was in the possession of Roach during the incident.

_	_ 1	c				_
к	ÐΙ	F	rei	nc	ρc	•

No references.

Attachments:

Attachment # 01: 24-14395 BCI Firearms Lab Report

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report Firearms

To:

BCI / Madison

Kyle Douglass

1560 S.R. 56 SW

London, OH 43140

BCI Laboratory Number:

24-14395

Analysis Date:

Issue Date:

June 10, 2024

June 13, 2024

Agency Case Number:

2024-1470

BCI Agent:

Aja Chung

Offense:

Shooting Involving an Officer

Subject(s):

N/A

Victim(s):

N/A

Submitted on May 14, 2024 by Aja Chung:

1. One manila envelope containing cartridge casings from driveway (BCI#1/Scene#1)

- Two (2) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases.

2. One manila envelope containing cartridge case from driveway (BCI#2/Scene#1)

- One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.

- 3. One cardboard box containing firearm serial#MOD-0-02189 from side yard near garbage can (BCI#3/Scene#1)
 - One (1) B Kings Firearms model M4 MOD-0, 5.56mm Nato, semi-automatic rifle, serial number MOD-0-02189.
 - One (1) magazine, and twenty-three (23) unfired .223 Rem / 5.56mm Nato cartridges.
- 4. White box containing firearm serial#GKS0180540 from side yard near garbage can (BCI#4/Scene#1)
 - One (1) FN model 509, 9mm Luger, semi-automatic pistol, serial number GKS0180540.
 - One (1) magazine and seven (7) unfired 9mm Luger cartridge cases.

- One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case.

- 5. White box containing firearm serial #66F051495 from side yard near garbage can (BCI#5/Scene#1)
 - One (1) Sig Sauer model P365, 9mm Luger, semi-automatic pistol, serial number

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

Lab Case: Agency Case: 24-14395 2024-1470

66F051495.

- One (1) magazine.
- 6. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing from side yard near garbage can (BCI#6/Scene#1)
 - One (1) fired 5.56mm Nato cartridge case.
- 7. White box containing firearm serial from Officer (BCI#1/Scene#2)
 - One (1) Smith & Wesson model MP9 M2.0, 9mm Luger, semi-automatic pistol, serial number
 - One (1) magazine and nine (9) unfired 9mm Luger cartridges.

Submitted on May 17, 2024 by Aja Chung:

- 8. One manila envelope containing fires projectile from autopsy (Scene#4, item#1)
 - One (1) fired bullet.

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
	N/A	Operable
Item 3: B Kings Firearms rifle (SN: MOD-0-02189)	Item 6: One (1) fired 5.56mm Nato cartridge case	Source Identification
	N/A	Operable
Item 4: FN pistol (SN: GKS0180540)	Item 4: One (1) fired 9mm Luger cartridge case	Source Identification
Item 5: Sig Sauer pistol (SN: 66F051495)	N/A	Operable
	N/A	Operable
Item 7: Smith & Wesson pistol	Items 1 & 2: Three (3) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases Item 8: One (1) fired bullet	Source Identification

Remarks

Six (6) of the twenty-three (23) submitted cartridges from item 3 were used for test firing.

Six (6) of the nine (9) submitted cartridges from item 7 were used for test firing.

The remaining submitted items from items 3, 4, 5, & 7 were not examined at this time.

Lab Case: Agency Case: 24-14395 2024-1470

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Krystal Soles

Forensic Scientist (740) 845-2127

Krystal.Soles@OhioAGO.gov

Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q7V2N6H

Lab Case: Agency Case: 24-14395 2024-1470

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

	Y	1
1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager (740) 845-2517

abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov