

I am of the opinion that a bond for said issue with combined principal and interest coupons attached, drawn in accordance with the bond resolution authorizing the same and in compliance with the resolution of the industrial commission adopted under authority of section 1465-58a G. C. will, upon delivery, constitute a valid and binding obligation of said school district.

You will note that the amount of bonds herewith approved is \$12,842.55 whereas the amount of bonds purchased by the industrial commission under their resolution of November 16, 1921, was \$13,500. The transcript of proceedings disclosed that the board of education was authorized to issue bonds only to the amount of \$12,842.55. I am informed by Hon. Jonathan E. Ladd, prosecuting attorney, Bowling Green, Ohio, that your attention has been called to this reduction in the amount of the bonds with the request that you rescind your action to the extent of \$657.45. I note this change so that there may be no confusion in your records.

Respectfully,
 JOHN G. PRICE,
Attorney-General.

2761.

APPROVAL, REFUNDING BONDS OF EAST LIVERPOOL CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT IN AMOUNT OF \$90,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2762.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF IRONTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT IN AMOUNT OF \$150,000 FOR ERECTION AND EQUIPMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL BUILDING.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

2763.

APPROVAL, REFUNDING BONDS OF IRONTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT IN AMOUNT OF \$21,000.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 31, 1921.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.